

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------------|---------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/088,940 | | 03/21/2002 | Wolfgang Scherzer | 268/249 | 4698 | |
| 22249 | 7590 | 02/26/2003 | | | | |
| LYON & L | | | EXAMINER | | | |
| 633 WEST F SUITE 4700 | | IKEEI | AYLWARD, DAVID E | | | |
| LOS ANGEL | LES, CA | 90071 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | | L | THE EXTROMETA | |
| | | | | 1712 DATE MAILED: 02/26/2003 | 6 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | P |
|---|--|--|---|--------------|
| Office Action Summary | 16/088940 | | Scherzer et al, Group Art Unit | |
| Cines Action Cammary | Examiner A | | Group Art Unit | |
| | Ay/ward | | 1712 | · |
| -The MAILING DATE of this communication appears | on the cover sheet be | neath the co | orrespondence add | resș- |
| Period for Reply | _ | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION. | EXPIRE | MONTH(S |) FROM THE MAIL | ING DATE |
| Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a refer to period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ply within the statutory mini- expire SIX (6) MONTHS from | mum of thirty (3 m the mailing da | 0) days will be consider ate of this communicati | red timely. |
| Status | | | | |
| ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | |
| ☐ This action is FINAL. | | | | · · |
| Since this application is in condition for allowance except f accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 | or formal matters, pros C.D. 1 1: 453 O.G. 213 | ecution as to | o the merits is clos | sed in |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | |
| Ø Claim(s) 1−8 Of the above claim(s) | | is/am n | ending in the applica | ation |
| Of the above claim(s) | is/are w | is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | |
| □ Claim(s) | | ie/am al | lowed | deration. |
| ⊠ Claim(s) 1 - 8 | | is/an m | icated | |
| □ Claim(s) | | io/oro et | jected. | |
| □ Claim(s) | | | | |
| Application Papers | | requiren | ect to restriction or (nent | election |
| ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on | is 🗆 approved 🗆 | disapprove | d. · | |
| ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objecte | | | | |
| ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | • • | | | |
| ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | • |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) | | | | |
| Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority und | dor 25 11 0 0 0 440 (.) | (n | | |
| ☑ All □ Some* □ None of the: | uer 35 0.5.C. 9 119 (a)- | (a). | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec | - piyod | | | · |
| ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec | | | | |
| ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents i | nave been received | · ——— | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| in this national stage application from the International B | | 11 | | |
| *Certified copies not received: | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | • |
| ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) | 4 | | | |
| | □ Inte | erview Summa | ary, PTO-413 | |
| □ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 | tice of Informa | ce of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 | | |
| ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 | . Ott | er | | |
| | | | | |
| Office Action | on Summary | | | |

Part of Paper No. -

*U.S. GPO: 2000-472-999/43204

- 2. Claims 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 5 is confusing because claim 1 recites b1 and b2 which are both amines. Claim 5 does not claim amines but claims epoxy compounds. Even the amine adduct b2 would be named as an amine.
- 4. Claim 7 provides for the use of the composition of claim 1, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. § 101. See for example Ex parte Dunki, 153

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-4, 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCaleb (3,280,074).
- 7. McCaleb teaches an epoxy composition (Title column 1 lines 11-19) which is cured with a dipropyltriamine with an alkyl group, the chain length of which overlaps sufficiently with the length of the alkyl group of the triamine of the instant claims to render the claimed composition obvious. Epoxy resins and other ingredients of the instant claims are taught at column 4 lines 1-13 and line 60 column 5 line 62; column 7 lines 4-55 and column 8 lines 24-44.
- 6. Claims 1-4, 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCaleb (3,280,074) applied as indicated above in view of Floyd (4,195,152).

- the art motivated to improve the flexibility of the films produced from the composition taught by McCaleb to substitute the epoxy adduct of the instant claims for the alkyl dipropylene triamine also taught therein because Floyd teaches at column 9 lines 62-68 this will be the consequence of replacing this type of amine with the corresponding epoxy/amine adduct as a curing agent.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mr. Aylward whose telephone number is (703) 308-2372. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 to 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Dawson, can be reached on (703) 308-2340. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

JEA.

Daylward:cdc

February 24, 2003

Robert Dawson Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700